



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

BOOK REVIEWS.

All book reviews are by the editor in chief unless otherwise expressly stated.

Pollard's Supplement to the Code of Virginia—Containing All Statutes of a General and Permanent Nature Passed by the General Assembly at Its Sessions of 1906, 1908 and 1910; also Annotations to the Code of Virginia, 1904, and the Constitution and Tax Bill. Edited by John Garland Pollard, of the Richmond Bar. Richmond, Va. Everett Waddey Co. 1910. Price \$7.50.

One notices on Northern trips as he passes along the railroad huge signs containing the terse sentence "_____'s Highball—That's all." We feel very much like saying "A supplemental code by Pollard—that's all;" for there is very little need of saying anything more. Pollard's work speaks for itself. In fact so valuable and well nigh indispensable has been his work as the compiler and annotator of his Code of 1904, that the volumes are quoted as though they had received the official sanction of the General Assembly. His Supplemental Codes have been equally as valuable and no lawyer of the State can afford to be without them.

The present volume, which by the way is almost equal in size to a volume of the Code of 1904, supersedes the biennial of 1906 and 1908 and brings the amendments to our laws and the changes in our general law from 1906 up to and including the legislation of 1910. The annotations are from 102 to 109, Virginia, inclusive; 194 to 215 U. S., inclusive; 129 to 176 Federal Reports, inclusive; and 9 to 15 VIRGINIA LAW REGISTER, inclusive; together with the author's own notes and amended and new forms.

That this work is admirably well done goes without saying. It is a necessity to every Virginia lawyer, and executed with such fidelity and care as to make it a luxury to use. The author announces that he will make no more supplements until there is a re-codification of our laws. That this has become essential the three volumes edited by Mr. Pollard clearly evince.

Lawyers' Reports, Annotated. New Series. Book 27. *Burdette v. Rich and Henry P. Farnham*, Editors. 1910. Rochester, New York. The Lawyers Co-Operative Publishing Company. Price \$4.00.

Volume 27 of this admirable series contains the usual number of carefully selected cases, splendidly annotated. We have been very much struck with the note as to *What is Testamentary Capacity*, page 1, annotating *Slaughter v. Heath*, from 127 Georgia. Also with the note as to the Right of a Property Owner to Damages on Injunction for Maintenance of Electric Light Planted in the Vicinity of his Property, annexed to the case of the *Sherman Gas & Electric Company v. Belden*, from the Supreme Court of Texas.

The note *Ex parte Margaret Beville*, from the Florida Supreme Court, as to the effect of Statute making Husband and Wife Competent Witnesses for or against Each Other upon a Privilege as to Confidential Communications between Them, discusses a question of some novelty, as well as the note to *Knight, etc., v. Castle*, from the Indiana Supreme Court as to the Right of a Sub-contractor, Material